

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....	1
1.1 The Recommended Route (2002) .....	1
1.1.1 Background .....	1
1.1.2 MTO Review .....	2
1.2 Purpose of the Undertaking .....	2
1.3 Study Area .....	3
1.4 Description of the Undertaking (Recommended Route (2002)) .....	3
1.5 Documentation for the Recommended Route (2002) .....	8
1.5.1 Features of the Study Area .....	9
1.5.2 Need and Justification .....	12
1.5.3 Analysis and Evaluation .....	16
1.5.4 Study Organization .....	20
2. ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT PROCESS .....	24
2.1 EA Report 1997 .....	24
2.2 MTO Review .....	24
2.3 Study Organization .....	25
2.4 Consultation During the MTO Review .....	27
2.4.1 Municipalities .....	27
2.4.2 External Agencies .....	27
2.4.3 Property Owners .....	28
2.4.4 Public .....	28
2.4.5 Interest Groups .....	28
2.5 MTO Review Phases .....	28
2.5.1 Phase 1: Minor Alignment Shifts to the Recommended Plan (1997) (February 1999 – March 2000) .....	29
2.5.1.1 Phase 1 Consultation .....	31
2.5.2 Phase 2: New Alignment Alternatives (April 2000 to February 2001) .....	33
2.5.2.1 Phase 2: Consultation .....	34
2.5.3 Phase 3: Central Section Alternatives (February 2001 – March 2002) .....	38
2.5.3.1 Phase 3 Consultation .....	39
2.6 Preliminary Design .....	40
2.7 Potential Environmental Effects and Proposed Mitigation Measures .....	41
2.8 Preparation and Submission of the Amendment to the EA Report 1997 .....	41
2.9 Commitments to Further Work .....	46
2.10 Canadian Environmental Assessment Act .....	46
3. EXISTING AND FUTURE CONDITIONS .....	48
3.1 Socio-Economic Environment .....	48
3.1.1 Municipalities .....	49
3.1.2 Communities/Land Use .....	52
3.1.3 Noise .....	55
3.1.4 Heritage Resources .....	57
3.2 Natural Environment .....	63
3.2.1 Geology and Physiography .....	64

	3.2.2 Soils .....	66
	3.2.3 Water Quality and Quantity .....	68
	3.2.4 Aquatic Resources and Fisheries.....	72
	3.2.5 Vegetation and Wetlands .....	81
	3.2.6 Wildlife Resources .....	94
	3.2.7 Environmentally Sensitive Areas .....	100
3.3	Agriculture .....	101
	3.3.1 Soil Capability .....	101
	3.3.2 Agricultural Land Use .....	102
	3.3.3 Farm Community .....	104
3.4	Transportation .....	108
	3.4.1 Background Information from EA Report 1997 .....	108
	3.4.2 Existing Conditions During the MTO Review .....	109
	3.4.3 Traffic Forecasts.....	111
	3.4.3.1 1989 / 1990 Traffic Forecasts .....	111
	3.4.3.2 Initial Review of Traffic Forecasts .....	112
	3.4.3.3 Independent Review of Future Demand .....	113
3.5	Summary of Environmentally Significant Issues .....	118
	3.5.1 Introduction .....	118
4.	ALTERNATIVES: ANALYSIS AND EVALUATION .....	120
4.1	Alternatives to the Undertaking .....	120
	4.1.1 Transit.....	120
	4.1.1.1 Rail Transit .....	122
	4.1.1.2 Bus Transit.....	123
	4.1.1.3 Transit Alternatives Summary .....	124
	4.1.2 Road Improvements .....	125
	4.1.3 Rationale for Selecting Road Improvement Alternatives .....	126
4.2	Alternative Methods of Carrying Out the Undertaking .....	126
	4.2.1 Review Phase 1: Minor Alignment Shifts to the Recommended Plan (1997)..	130
	4.2.2 Review Phase 2: New Alignment Alternatives .....	136
	4.2.2.1 Revised Easterly (RE) Alternatives .....	136
	4.2.2.2 Revised Westerly (RW) Alternatives .....	139
	4.2.2.3 Existing Highway 7 Alternatives.....	142
	4.2.2.4 Connectors – Kitchener .....	147
	4.2.2.5 Connectors – Guelph .....	147
	4.2.3 Review Phase 3: Revised Central Section Alternatives .....	148
4.3	Analysis and Evaluation .....	152
	4.3.1 Introduction .....	152
	4.3.2 Groupings, Factors and Indicators .....	152
	4.3.3 Analysis and Evaluation Process.....	164
	4.3.3.1 Analysis .....	164
	4.3.3.2 Evaluation Process.....	164
	4.3.4 Analysis and Evaluation Procedure.....	165
	4.3.4.1 Revised Easterly Alternatives (RE).....	165
	4.3.4.2 Stage IA Evaluation: Revised Easterly Alternatives (RE).....	167
	4.3.4.3 Revised Westerly Alternatives (RW) .....	175

4.3.4.4	Stage IB Evaluation: Revised Westerly Alternatives (RW) .....	188
4.3.4.5	Analysis of the Kitchener Connector (KC) Alternatives .....	189
4.3.4.6	Stage IC Evaluation: Kitchener Connectors (KC) Alternatives .....	200
4.3.4.7	Guelph Connector (GC) Alternatives – Analysis and Evaluation .....	200
4.3.4.8	Analysis of Existing Highway 7 Alternatives .....	201
4.3.4.9	Stage II Evaluation Highway 7 Alternatives .....	213
4.3.4.10	Analysis of Stage III Evaluation Alternatives .....	214
4.3.4.11	Stage III Evaluation .....	227
4.3.4.12	Public response to the Technically Preferred Alternative (2001).....	228
4.3.4.13	Analysis of Phase 3 (RC) Alternatives .....	230
4.3.4.14	Evaluation of Phase 3 (RC) Alternatives.....	244
4.3.4.15	Analysis and Evaluation – Conclusion .....	246
5.	DESCRIPTION OF THE RECOMMENDED ROUTE .....	248
5.1	Introduction.....	248
5.2	Comparison of Recommended Route (2002) and Recommended Plan (1997).....	249
5.3	Preliminary Design Features.....	254
5.3.1	Roadway.....	255
5.3.2	Structures.....	263
5.3.3	Preliminary Design Criteria .....	264
5.3.4	Drainage and Stormwater Management.....	264
5.3.5	Operations .....	266
5.3.6	Right-of-Way Requirements .....	267
5.3.7	Corridor Control.....	268
5.3.7.1	Assumptions, Designations and Transfers.....	268
5.3.7.2	Utilities .....	268
5.3.7.3	Railways .....	269
5.3.8	Cost Estimate.....	270
5.3.9	Other Preliminary Design Features .....	271
5.4	Future Work Activities .....	271
5.5	Maintenance Features .....	273
6.	ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES .....	274
6.1	Environmental Protection Strategies.....	274
6.2	Socio-Economic Environment.....	275
6.2.1	Community Effects and Land Use .....	275
6.2.2	Special Policy Areas.....	278
6.2.3	Noise.....	279
6.2.4	Heritage Resources.....	282
6.2.4.1	Archaeology.....	282
6.2.4.2	Built Heritage Features / Cultural Landscapes .....	285
6.3	Natural Environment.....	289
6.3.1	Soils.....	289
6.3.2	Water Quality and Quantity .....	290
6.3.2.1	Groundwater Resources.....	290
6.3.2.2	Surface Water Resources.....	293
6.3.3	Aquatic Resources and Fisheries.....	298
6.3.4	Vegetation and Wetlands .....	304

---

6.3.4.1	Significant Flora .....	304
6.3.4.2	Vegetation and Wetland Resources – General Strategies.....	306
6.3.4.3	Site-Specific Impact Mitigation Review.....	312
6.3.5	Wildlife and Linkages .....	321
6.3.6	Air Quality.....	326
6.4	Agriculture .....	328
6.4.1	Agricultural Land Use and Farm Community.....	328
6.5	Transportation .....	334
6.5.1	Road Network .....	334
6.5.2	Traffic.....	335
6.6	Excess Materials and Contaminated Property .....	338
6.6.1	Management of Excess Materials .....	338
6.6.2	Contaminated Property.....	338
	GLOSSARY OF TERMS.....	340
	REFERENCES.....	344

I:\Work Order File\2029-200 Highway 7 EA Review\2029.700 Planning\2029.705 Addendum Report\FINAL REPORT\2029 MWS Merged Chapters Final Draft March-04.doc

**TABLE OF EXHIBITS**

	Page
Exhibit 1-1 – Study Area .....	4
Exhibit 1-2 – Recommended Route (2002) .....	5
Exhibit 1-3 – Analysis and Evaluation Review Process .....	17
Exhibit 1-4 – Recommended Route (2002) .....	21
Exhibit 1-5 – Study Organization .....	21
Exhibit 2-1 Study Organization .....	26
Exhibit 2-2 MTO Review Phases .....	30
Exhibit 2-3 - Study Stages .....	43
Exhibit 3-1 - Study Area .....	50
Exhibit 3-2 Existing and Future Land Use .....	53
Exhibit 3-3: Bio Physical Features Summary .....	88
Exhibit 3-4 – Other Vegetation Areas .....	88
Exhibit 3-5 – Agricultural Uses – West Section (Color Topo).....	105
Exhibit 3-6 – Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) .....	108
Exhibit 3-7 Transportation Network .....	110
Exhibit 3-8: Actual Annual Average Daily Traffic (1989/1990 and 1999/2000).....	112
Exhibit 3-9: Traffic forecasts for Highway 7 between Ebycrest Road and Spitzig Road. ....	114
Exhibit 3-10: Traffic forecasts for Highway 7 between Greenhouse Road and Shantz Station Road .....	115
Exhibit 3-11: Capacity of Highway 7 Roadway Types .....	116
Exhibit 3-12: Summary of Environmentally Significant Issues .....	119
Exhibit 4-1 1997 Recommended Plan .....	121
Exhibit 4-2 Road Improvements Alternative .....	127
Exhibit 4-3 Bloomingdale Rosendale Wetland.....	132
Exhibit 4-4 Hopewell Creek Riparian / Townline Road West Woodlands / Wetlands .....	133
Exhibit 4-5 Ellis Creek Wetland .....	134
Exhibit 4-6 Marden South Wetland .....	137
Exhibit 4-7a: Revised Easterly (RE) Alternatives .....	137
Exhibit 4-7b: Revised Westerly (RW) Alternatives .....	140
Exhibit 4-8: Existing Highway Alternatives – Central Rural Sections RIRO .....	144
Exhibit 4-9: Existing Highway Alternatives Central Rural Sections CAH(d) .....	145
Exhibit 4-10: Existing Highway Alternatives Central Rural Sections CAH(c).....	146
Exhibit 4-11: Kitchener Connector Alternatives .....	149
Exhibit 4-12: Guelph Connector Alternatives .....	150
Exhibit 4-13: Revised Central Alternatives (RC-1 & RC-2).....	149
Exhibit 4-14: Analysis and Evaluation Review Process.....	153
Exhibit 4-15: Comparison of Factors EA Report 1997 and MTO Review.....	154
Exhibit 4-16: Factors and Indicators Developed in Phase 2 .....	156
Exhibit 4-17: Stage 1A Analysis of Revised Easterly Alternatives (RE).....	167
Exhibit 4-18: Stage 1A Evaluation Revised Easterly Alternatives.....	176
Exhibit 4-19: Stage 1B Analysis of Revised Westerly Alternatives (RW) .....	177
Exhibit 4-20: Stage 1B Evaluation Revised Westerly Alternatives.....	190
Exhibit 4-21: Stage 1C Analysis of the Connectors – Kitchener Alternatives (KC).....	191

Exhibit 4-22: Stage II Analysis of Existing Highway 7 Alternatives .....	202
Exhibit 4-23: Stage II Evaluation Existing Highway 7 Alternatives .....	215
Exhibit 4-24: Highway 7 Alternatives New Route Alternative Combined Alternative .....	216
Exhibit 4-25: Analysis of Stage III Evaluation Alternatives .....	217
Exhibit 4-26: Stage III Evaluation .....	229
Exhibit 4-27: Analysis of New Alternatives in Central Section .....	231
Exhibit 4-28: Fourth Stage Evaluation Revised Central Alternatives .....	245
Exhibit 4-29: Comparison of the Recommended Route (2002) with the Recommended Plan (1997) .....	247
Exhibit 5-1: Recommended Route (2002) .....	250
Exhibit 5-2: Summary Comparison of the Recommended Plan (1997) and the Recommended Route (2002) by Key Factor Group .....	251
Exhibit 5-3: Comparison Between Wetland Impacts of Recommended Plan (1997) and Recommended Route (2002) .....	253
Exhibit 5-4: Proposed Highway 7 / KWE Interchange.....	257
Exhibit 5-5: Highway 7 Staging at Spitzig Road (Woolwich Road 66) .....	262
Exhibit 5-6: Future Hanlon North Interchange with Highway 7 .....	272
Exhibit 6-1a: Receiver Locations Considered for Noise Analysis .....	280
Exhibit 6-1b: Receiver Locations Considered for Noise Analysis .....	281
Exhibit 6-2 - Summary of NSAs Affected.....	282
Exhibit 6-3: Recommended Route (2002) Turning Movements 2011 P.M. Peak Hour.....	336
Exhibit 6-4: Recommended Route (2002) Turning Movements 2011 P.M. Peak Hour.....	337

**APPENDICES**

- A Municipal and External Agencies Correspondence
- B Soil Characteristics and Mapping
- C Natural Environment
- D Agricultural Soil Capability
- E Transportation
- F Description of Groupings, Factors and Indicators
- G Preliminary Design Criteria
- H Storm Water Management Report
- I Cost Estimate
- J EPP Fact Sheets
- K Cultural Heritage Resource Assessment Built Heritage  
Features and Cultural Landscape Report
- L Stage 1 and 2 Archaeological Assessment
- M Noise Memo
- N Air Quality Report